9 February 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Deputy Director for

Intelligence

Assistant Deputy Director for

Science and Technology

SUBJECT : COINS Review Group

- 1. Last November, by agreement between Mr. Helms and Dr. Hall, an interagency Review Group was formed to review and evaluate the COINS system. I was named as CIA member of the Review Group, and I drew support from OPPB and CRS in Working Group meetings which were held to gather and sift data required for the study. Data on CIA files were provided by OER, OSR, CRS, NPIC, IAS and FBIS in the Intelligence Directorate and by OSI and FMSAC in the Directorate of Science and Technology.
- and attachments to Messrs. Eisenbeiss, Briggs, Iams and plus the IC Staff.

MACLLIA PARES SEMENTIA LA SOLO DE DOCUCIS ACO MEMBROS MICCLIZO

SECRET

Approved For Release 2006/12/08 : CIA-RDP 19M00096A000300010008-2

25X1

25X1

-----

25X1

25X1

## SECRET

- 4. From the user point of-view, I have no real problem with the recommendations to continue COINS and to make it possible for the system to handle TK data. The system really cannot be viable unless the exchange of TK information is permitted.
- 5. However, the principal conclusions are laced with words whose cumulative effect is to push the paper toward over-statement on behalf of the need for action to stimulate widespread on-line and interactive file access, and there is little recognition of the difficulties to be faced in accomplishing the results. Throughout the paper, there is consistently high profile to the requirement for being "on-line." Other agencies may have such a requirement for on-line file sharing, but I believe that these intense statements are at variance with the needs of our business day-to-day in this Agency and even with our needs as we see them developing for some time ahead.
- One other point should be made, stemming from the "on-line" thrust of the paper. clusions call for adding new files to the COINS system and for system upgrading. One of these new files would be CRS' AEGIS file, which is not now in the system. There would be significant problems of practicality and cost for the Agency in putting this entire file on-line within the COINS network. The Agency does not now have the software to make this file on-line in the manner called for in the paper, and there would be security considerations, also, beyond the TK problem. Further, there is danger in letting the idea of system upgrading slip by unnoticed. When the upgrading concept is stretched -- as the recommendations provide -- to the idea of the entire networks being interactive, a whole new dimension of system complexity and cost is entered. The draft that we have seen calls for the COINS Project Management Office to submit a detailed plan for upgrading COINS to provide interactive capability and to include world-wide users.
- 7. Another of the paper's findings is that there are shortcomings in the way COINS is presently managed.

The Review Group did indeed perceive such shortcomings, but NSA was not seen as the culprit so much as the victum of a situation in which a single agency has been asked to substitute for community-level direction. The Chairman (not the Review Group, however, as the paper states) has proposed that

"The ASD(I) be appointed COINS executive agent under the auspices of the DCI with the COINS Project Management Office (PMO) made responsible to the executive agency."

This is a recommendation which Dr. Hall might make to the Director, but I do not believe it should be a part of the Review Group report. In the absence of a stronger role in COINS management by the DCI, a logical step would of course be a strengthening of COINS management authority within DOD which supplies most of the funds for COINS. One certain effect of such a change, however, would be to increase the authority of the DOD in COINS vis-a-vis the DCI. proposal is one alternative to the continued drift of COINS authority, an alternative he appears willing to relinquish if the DCI desires to take charge and moves to do so.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

- - 9. I suggest that I take the following actions:
  - a. Convey to suggestions for changes in the tone of the paper, so that it is less strident in its call to the colors.
  - b. Suggest to him also that some of the policy recommendations—those that are really not ones that "users" can appropriately address—be dropped from the paper itself.

-3-

## SECRET

## These include:

- -- The recommendation that ASD(I) be appointed COINS executive agent.
- --The recommendation relating to Intelligence program budget actions.

9. If you agree I will points, though I may have to	
bit to get his attention.	
	Deputy Director Strategic Research

Attachment:
Draft
Conclusions and
Recommendations

25X1

25X1

25X1

-4-

